arXiv:1404.2395v3 [math.CA] 17 Feb 2016

# MARTINGALE HARDY SPACES WITH VARIABLE EXPONENTS

YONG JIAO[1*], DEJIAN ZHOU[1], ZHIWEI HAO[1] AND WEI CHEN[2]

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce Hardy spaces with variable exponents defined on a probability space and develop the martingale theory of variable Hardy spaces. We prove the weak type and strong type inequalities on Doob's maximal operator and get a $(1, p(\cdot), \infty)$-atomic decomposition for Hardy martingale spaces associated with conditional square functions. As applications, we obtain a dual theorem and the John-Nirenberg inequalities in the frame of variable exponents. The key ingredient is that we find a condition with probabilistic characterization of $p(\cdot)$ to replace the so-called log-Hölder continuity condition in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \to (0, \infty)$ be a measurable function such that $0 < \inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} p(x) \leq \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} p(x) < \infty$. The space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Lebesgue space with variable exponent $p(\cdot)$, is defined as the set of all measurable functions $f$ such that for some $\lambda > 0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right)^{p(x)} dx < \infty,$$

with

$$\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} := \inf\left\{\lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right)^{p(x)} dx \leq 1\right\}.$$

Then $\left(L^{p(\cdot)}, \|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)}\right)$ is a quasi-normed space. Such Lebesgue spaces were introduced by Orlicz [24] in 1931 and studied by O. Kovàčik and J. Rákosník [17], X. Fan and D. Zhao [9] and others. We refer to two new monograghs [3] and [7] for the recent progress on Lebesgue spaces with variable exponents and some applications in PDEs and variational integrals with nonstandard growth conditions. We also note that in the recent years more attention was turned to the study of function spaces with variable exponent in harmonic analysis; see for instance [2, 4, 5, 8, 22, 26, 30]. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. We say that a function $p(\cdot) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is locally log-Hölder continuous on $\Omega$ if there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{c_1}{\log(e + 1/|x - y|)} \tag{1.1}$$

*Date*: Received: xxxxxx; Revised: yyyyyy; Accepted: zzzzzz.

* Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60G46; Secondary 60G42.

*Key words and phrases.* martingale Hardy spaces, variable exponents, atomic decomposition.

for all $x, y \in \Omega$. Heavily relying on the so-called log-Hölder continuity conditions on the variable exponent functions, in the pioneering work [6], Diening proved that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. An example in [25] showed that log-Hölder continuity of $p(x)$ is essentially the optimal condition when the maximal operator is bounded on variable exponent Lebesgue spaces defined on Euclidean spaces (even in the doubling metric measure spaces; see [12]). We refer to [18] for more questions related to the maximal operator in variable $L^{p(\cdot)}$.

Although variable exponent Lebesgue spaces on Euclidean space have attracted a steadily increasing interest over the last couple of years, the variable exponent framework has not yet been applied to the probability space setting. The purpose of the present paper is to introduce Hardy martingale spaces with variable exponent, and to develop the martingale theory of variable Hardy spaces. To the best of our knowledge, our paper is the first treating from this perspective. For a convenience, we first fix some notations. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space and $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ denote the collection of all measurable functions $p(\cdot) : \Omega \longrightarrow (0, \infty)$ which is called a variable exponent. For a measurable set $A \subset \Omega$, we denote

$$p_+(A) = \sup_{x\in A} p(x), \ \ p_-(A) = \inf_{x\in A} p(x)$$

and

$$p_+ = p_+(\Omega), \ \ p_- = p_-(\Omega).$$

Compared with Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$, the probability space $\Omega$ has no natural metric structure. The main difficulty is how to overcome the log-Hölder continuity (1.1) when $p(x)$ is defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

The first aim of this paper is to discuss the weak type and strong type inequalities about Doob's maximal operator. Aoyama [1] proved that Doob's maximal inequality is true under some conditions. Namely, if $1 \le p(\cdot) < \infty$ and there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p(\cdot)} \le C\mathbb{E}\Big(\frac{1}{p(\cdot)}\Big|\mathcal{F}_n\Big), \tag{1.2}$$

then

$$\mathbb{P}(\sup_n |f_n| > \lambda) \le C_{p(\cdot)} \int_\Omega \left(\frac{|f_\infty|}{\lambda}\right)^{p(\cdot)} d\mathbb{P}, \quad \forall \lambda > 0. \tag{1.3}$$

And if $1 < p_- \le p_+ < \infty$ and $p(\cdot)$ is $\mathcal{F}_n$-measurable for all $n \ge 0$, then

$$\|\sup_n |f_n|\|_{p(\cdot)} \le C_{p(\cdot)} \|f\|_{p(\cdot)}. \tag{1.4}$$

Obviously, the condition that $p(\cdot)$ is $\mathcal{F}_n$-measurable for all $n \ge 0$ is quite strict. In 2013, Nakai and Sadasue [21] pointed out that there exists a variable exponent $p(\cdot)$ such that $p(\cdot)$ is not $\mathcal{F}_0$-measurable, but (1.4) still holds. In this paper, we obtain the weak type inequality (1.3) without condition (1.2). Unfortunately we cannot obtain (1.4) directly by the weak type inequality as the classical case.

This is because that the space $L^{p(\cdot)}$ is no longer a rearrangement invariant space, and the formula

$$\int_\Omega |f(x)|^p d\mathbb{P} = p\int_0^\infty t^{p-1}\mathbb{P}(x\in\Omega : |f(x)|>t)dt$$

has no variable exponent analogue (see [7]). In order to describe the strong type Doob maximal inequality, we find the following condition without metric characterization of $p(x)$ to replace log-Hölder continuity in some sense. That is, there exists an absolute constant $K_{p(\cdot)}\geq 1$ depending only on $p(\cdot)$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(A)^{p_-(A)-p_+(A)}\leq K_{p(\cdot)},\quad \forall A\in\mathcal{F}. \tag{1.5}$$

We often denote $K_{p(\cdot)}$ simply by $K$ if there is nothing confused. Under the condition of (1.5), we prove (1.4) is true for any martingale with respect to the atom $\sigma$-algebra filtration. It should be mentioned that the condition (1.5) is not true usually (even in Euclidean space); however if the exponent $p(x)$ has a nice uniform continuity with respect to Euclidean distance, then (1.5) holds. We refer to Lemma 3.2 in [6] for this fact.

The second aim of this paper is the atomic characterization of variable Hardy martingale spaces. Our result can be regarded as the probability version of [5, 22]; we do not use the log-Hölder continuity of $p(x)$ and it seems that our proofs are simpler because of stopping time techniques. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the set of all stopping times with respect to $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n\geq 0}$. For a martingale $f=(f_n)_{n\geq 0}$ and $\tau\in\mathcal{T}$, we denote the stopped martingale by $f^\tau=(f_n^\tau)_{n\geq 0}=(f_{n\wedge\tau})_{n\geq 0}$.

**Definition 1.1.** Given $p(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P}$. A measurable function $a$ is called a $(1,p(\cdot),\infty)$-atom if there exists a stopping time $\tau\in\mathcal{T}$ such that

(1) $\mathbb{E}(a|\mathcal{F}_n)=0,\ \forall\ n\leq\tau$,
(2) $\|s(a)\|_\infty\leq \left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{-1}$.

Denote by $A(s,p(\cdot),\infty)$ be the set of all sequences of pair $(\mu_k,a^k,\tau_k)$, where $\mu_k$ are nonnegative numbers, $a^k$ are $(1,p(\cdot),\infty)$-atoms satisfying (1), (2).

In the sequel we always denote $\underline{p}=\min\{p_-,1\}$.

**Definition 1.2.** Given $p(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P}$. Let us denote by $H_{p(\cdot)}^{s,at}$ the space of those martingales for which there exist a sequence $(a^k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of $(1,p(\cdot),\infty)$-atoms and a sequence $(\mu_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of nonnegative real numbers such that

$$f=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\mu_k a^k,\quad a.e., \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$\left\|\left\{\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{\mu_k\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}}{\|\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}\|_{p(\cdot)}}\right)^{\underline{p}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\underline{p}}}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}<\infty.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{A}(\{\mu_k\},\{a^k\},\{\tau_k\})\equiv\left\|\left\{\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{\mu_k\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}}{\|\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}\|_{p(\cdot)}}\right)^{\underline{p}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\underline{p}}}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

We define

$$\|f\|_{H^{s,at}_{p(\cdot)}} = \inf \mathcal{A}(\{\mu_k\},\{a^k\},\{\tau_k\}),\ (\mu_k,a^k,\tau_k)\in A(s,p(\cdot),\infty),$$

where the infimum is taken over all decompositions of the form (1.6).

In Section 4, we prove that

$$H^s_{p(\cdot)} = H^{s,at}_{p(\cdot)}, \qquad p(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P},$$

with equivalent quasi-norms, see Section 2 for the notation $H^s_{p(\cdot)}$. We give some applications of atomic decomposition in Section 5. Recall that the Lipschitz space $\Lambda_q(\alpha)(\alpha\geq 0, q\geq 1)$, is defined as the space of all functions $f\in L^q$ for which

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_q(\alpha)} = \sup_{\tau} |\{\tau<\infty\}|^{-\frac{1}{q}-\alpha}\|f-f^\tau\|_q<\infty.$$

It was proved by Weisz in [28] that the dual space of $H^s_p(0<p\leq 1)$ is equivalent to $\Lambda_2(\alpha)(\alpha=1/p-1)$. The new Lipschitz space $\Lambda_q(\alpha(\cdot))$ is introduced in Section 5. Let $p(\cdot)$ satisfy (1.5). We obtain that

$$\left(H^s_{p(\cdot)}\right)^* = \Lambda_2\big(\alpha(\cdot)\big),\quad 0<p_-\leq p_+\leq 1,$$

where $\alpha(\cdot)=1/p(\cdot)-1$.

Finally we get the John-Nirenberg inequality in the frame of variable exponents. If $p(\cdot)$ satisfies (1.5), then

$$\|f\|_{BMO_1}\lesssim \|f\|_{BMO_{p(\cdot)}}\lesssim \|f\|_{BMO_1},\quad 1\leq p_-\leq p_+<\infty,$$

which can be regarded as the probability versions of Theorem 1.2 or Theorem 5.1 in [16]. See Section 5 for the definition of $BMO_{p(\cdot)}$. Furthermore, we also obtain the exponential integrability form of the John-Nirenberg inequality for $BMO_{p(\cdot)}$, which is the probability analogue of Theorem 3.2 in [13]. We note that the generalized John-Nirenberg inequalities were proved in the frame of rearrangement invariant spaces in [31], but the variable $L^{p(\cdot)}$ spaces are not rearrangement invariant spaces except that $p(\cdot)$ is a constant. Again, the condition (1.5) plays an important role in the above results, which admits us to estimate the $p(\cdot)$-norm of characterization function and makes inverse Hölder's inequalities available.

Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ denote the integer set, nonnegative integer set and complex numbers set, respectively. We denote by $C$ the absolute positive constant, which can vary from line to line, and denote by $C_{p(\cdot)}$ the constant dependently only on $p(\cdot)$. The symbol $A\lesssim B$ stands for the inequality $A\leq CB$ or $A\leq C_{p(\cdot)}B$. If we write $A\approx B$, then it stands for $A\lesssim B\lesssim A$.

## 2. Preliminaries and lemmas

In this section, we give some preliminaries necessary to the whole paper. Given $p(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P}$, we always assume that $0<p_-\leq p_+<\infty$ if no special statement. The space $L^{p(\cdot)}=L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is the collection of all measurable functions $f$ defined on $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbb{P})$ such that for some $\lambda>0$,

$$\rho(f/\lambda)=\int_\Omega\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right)^{p(x)}d\mathbb{P}<\infty.$$

This becomes a quasi-Banach function space when it is equipped with the quasi-norm

$$\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \equiv \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \rho(f/\lambda) \leq 1\}.$$

The following facts are well known; see for example [22].

(1) (Positivity) $\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \geq 0$; $\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} = 0 \Leftrightarrow f \equiv 0$.
(2) (Homogeneity) $\|cf\|_{p(\cdot)} = |c| \cdot \|f\|_{p(\cdot)}$ for $c \in \mathbb{C}$.
(3) (The $\underline{p}$-triangle inequality) $\|f+g\|_{p(\cdot)}^{\underline{p}} \leq \|f\|_{p(\cdot)}^{\underline{p}} + \|g\|_{p(\cdot)}^{\underline{p}}$.

For $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $p_- > 1$, we define the conjugate exponent $p'(\cdot)$ by the equation

$$\frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{p'(x)} = 1.$$

We collect some useful lemmas as follows, which will be used in the paper.

**Lemma 2.1.** *(see [5], page 5) Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}$, and $p_- \geq 1$ then for all $r > 0$, we have*

$$\||f|^r\|_{p(\cdot)} = \|f\|_{rp(\cdot)}^r.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *(see [3], page 24) Given $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}$, then for all $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}$ and $\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \neq 0$, we have*

$$\int_\Omega \left|\frac{f(x)}{\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}}\right|^{p(x)} d\mathbb{P} = 1.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *(see [9], Theorem 1.3 or [3], page 22 ) Given $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}$, then we have*

(1) *$\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} < 1 (= 1, > 1)$ if and only if $\rho(f) < 1 (= 1, > 1)$;*
(2) *If $\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} > 1$, then $\rho(f)^{1/p_+} \leq \|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq \rho(f)^{1/p_-}$;*
(3) *If $0 < \|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 1$, then $\rho(f)^{1/p_-} \leq \|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq \rho(f)^{1/p_+}$.*

**Lemma 2.4.** *(see [3], Hölder's inequality) Given $p(\cdot)$, $q(\cdot)$, $r(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}$, such that*

$$\frac{1}{p(x)} = \frac{1}{q(x)} + \frac{1}{r(x)}.$$

*Then there exists a constant $C_{p(\cdot)}$ such that for all $f \in L^{q(\cdot)}$, $g \in L^{r(\cdot)}$, and $fg \in L^{p(\cdot)}$*

$$\|fg\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq C_{p(\cdot)} \|f\|_{q(\cdot)} \|g\|_{r(\cdot)}.$$

Now we introduce some standard notations from martingale theory. We refer to [10, 20, 27] for the classical martingale space theory. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space. Recall that the conditional expectation operator relative to $\mathcal{F}_n$ is denoted by $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}_n}$, i.e. $\mathbb{E}(f|\mathcal{F}_n) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}_n}(f)$. A sequence of measurable functions $f = (f_n)_{n\geq 0} \subset L^1(\Omega)$ is called a martingale with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_n)$ if $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}_n}(f_{n+1}) = f_n$ for every $n \geq 0$. If in addition $f_n \in L^{p(\cdot)}$, $f$ is called an $L^{p(\cdot)}$-martingale with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_n)$. In this case we set

$$\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} = \sup_{n\geq 0} \|f_n\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

If $\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} < \infty$, $f$ is called a bounded $L^{p(\cdot)}$-martingale and denoted by $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}$. For a martingale relative to $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n\geq 0})$, define the maximal function and the conditional square function of $f$ respectively as follows ($f_{-1} = f_0$),

$$M_m f = \sup_{n\leq m} |f_n|, \ \ Mf = \sup_{n\geq 1} |f_n|,$$

$$s_m(f) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{m} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}_{n-1}} |df_n|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ \ s(f) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}_{n-1}} |df_n|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then we define the variable exponent martingale Hardy spaces analogous to classical martingale Hardy spaces as follows

$$H^*_{p(\cdot)} = \left\{f = (f_n)_{n\geq 0} : Mf \in L^{p(\cdot)}\right\}, \ \ \|f\|_{H^*_{p(\cdot)}} = \|Mf\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

$$H^s_{p(\cdot)} = \left\{f = (f_n)_{n\geq 0} : s(f) \in L^{p(\cdot)}\right\}, \ \ \|f\|_{H^s_{p(\cdot)}} = \|s(f)\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

## 3. Doob's maximal inequalities

In this section we first prove the weak type inequality (1.3) without the condition (1.2). We begin with the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Given $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $1 \leq p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$. Let $f = (f_n)_{0\leq n\leq \infty}$ be a $L^{p(\cdot)}$-martingale. Suppose that for any stopping time $\tau$*

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau < \infty) < \int_{\{\tau<\infty\}} \frac{|f_\infty|}{\lambda} d\mathbb{P}, \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

*Then there exists a constant $C_{p(\cdot)}$ such that*

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau < \infty) \leq C_{p(\cdot)} \int_{\{\tau<\infty\}} \left(\frac{|f_\infty|}{\lambda}\right)^{p(x)} d\mathbb{P}, \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

*Proof.* We choose a sequence of simple functions $\{s_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ such that $p_+(\{\tau < \infty\}) \geq s_n \geq p_-(\{\tau < \infty\})$ for any $n$ and the sequence $\{s_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ increases monotonically to $p(x)$ on $\{\tau < \infty\}$. Then for each $n$

$$s_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \alpha_{n,j} \chi_{A_{n,j}}(x),$$

where the sets $\{A_{n,j}\}$ are disjoint and $\bigcup_j A_{n,j} = \{\tau < \infty\}$.

By Hölder's inequality and Young's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{A_{n,j}} \frac{|f_\infty(x)|}{\lambda} d\mathbb{P} &\leq \left(\int_{A_{n,j}} \left(\frac{|f_\infty(x)|}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha_{n,j}} d\mathbb{P}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{n,j}}} \mathbb{P}(A_{n,j})^{\frac{1}{\alpha'_{n,j}}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\alpha_{n,j}} \int_{A_{n,j}} \left(\frac{|f_\infty(x)|}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha_{n,j}} d\mathbb{P} + \frac{\mathbb{P}(A_{n,j})}{\alpha'_{n,j}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{p_-(\{\tau < \infty\})} \int_{A_{n,j}} \left(\frac{|f_\infty(x)|}{\lambda}\right)^{s_n(x)} d\mathbb{P} + \frac{\mathbb{P}(A_{n,j})}{\left(p_+(\{\tau < \infty\})\right)'}.
\end{aligned}$$

Adding the above inequalities with $j$ from 1 to $k_n$, we have

$$\int_{\{\tau<\infty\}} \frac{|f_\infty(x)|}{\lambda} d\mathbb{P} \leq \frac{1}{p_-\big(\{\tau<\infty\}\big)} \int_{\{\tau<\infty\}} \Big(\frac{|f_\infty(x)|}{\lambda}\Big)^{s_n(x)} d\mathbb{P} + \frac{\mathbb{P}(\tau<\infty)}{\big(p_+\big(\{\tau<\infty\}\big)\big)'}.$$

This inequality holds for all $n$, hence the monotone convergence theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\tau<\infty) &< \int_{\{\tau<\infty\}} \frac{|f_\infty|}{\lambda} d\mathbb{P} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p_-\big(\{\tau<\infty\}\big)} \int_{\{\tau<\infty\}} \Big(\frac{|f_\infty(x)|}{\lambda}\Big)^{p(x)} d\mathbb{P} + \frac{\mathbb{P}(\tau<\infty)}{\big(p_+\big(\{\tau<\infty\}\big)\big)'}. \quad (3.1)\end{aligned}$$

Since $p_+ < \infty$, then $\big(p_+(\{\tau<\infty\})\big)' > 1$. It follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau<\infty)\Big(1 - \frac{1}{(p_+(\{\tau<\infty\}))'}\Big) \leq \frac{1}{p_-\big(\{\tau<\infty\}\big)} \int_{\{\tau<\infty\}} \left(\frac{|f_\infty(x)|}{\lambda}\right)^{p(x)} d\mathbb{P}.$$

Therefore, by a simple calculation, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau<\infty) \leq C_{p(\cdot)} \int_{\{\tau<\infty\}} \left(\frac{|f_\infty(x)|}{\lambda}\right)^{p(x)} d\mathbb{P}.$$

□

The following theorem corresponds to Proposition 4 in [1].

**Theorem 3.2.** *Given $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $1 \leq p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$. Suppose that $f = (f_n)_{0\leq n\leq\infty}$ is a bounded $L^{p(\cdot)}$-martingale, then*

$$\mathbb{P}(Mf > \lambda) \leq C_{p(\cdot)} \int_\Omega \left(\frac{|f_\infty(x)|}{\lambda}\right)^{p(x)} d\mathbb{P}, \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

*Proof.* For any $\lambda > 0$, we define a stopping time $\tau = \inf\{n > 0 : |f_n| > \lambda\}$ ( with the convention that $\inf \varnothing = \infty$). It is obvious that

$$\{Mf > \lambda\} = \{\tau < \infty\},$$

and

$$\{\tau < \infty\} \subset \{|f_\tau| > \lambda\}.$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}_\tau}\Big(\frac{|f_\infty|}{\lambda}\Big) > 1$ a.e. on the set $\{\tau < \infty\}$. We get that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\tau<\infty) &= \int_{\{\tau<\infty\}} 1 d\mathbb{P} \leq \int_{\{\tau<\infty\}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}_\tau}\left(\frac{|f_\infty|}{\lambda}\right) d\mathbb{P} \\ &= \int_{\{\tau<\infty\}} \frac{|f_\infty(x)|}{\lambda} d\mathbb{P}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(Mf>\lambda) &= \mathbb{P}(\tau<\infty)\le C_{p(\cdot)}\int_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\left(\frac{|f_\infty(x)|}{\lambda}\right)^{p(x)}d\mathbb{P}\\ &\le C_{p(\cdot)}\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{|f_\infty(x)|}{\lambda}\right)^{p(x)}d\mathbb{P}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

**Lemma 3.3.** *Given $p(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P}$. Then*

$$\left(\sup_{n\ge0}|f_n|\right)^{p(\cdot)}=\sup_{n\ge0}\left(|f_n|^{p(\cdot)}\right).$$

This lemma is very obvious, however it will be used frequently below.

We now turn to consider the strong type inequality (1.4). Let $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. Let

$$\mathcal{D}_n=\{A_j^n\}_{j\ge1},\ \text{for each } n\ge0,$$

be decompositions of $\Omega$ such that $(\mathcal{B}_n)_{n\ge0}=(\sigma(\mathcal{D}_n))_{n\ge0}$ is increasing and $\mathcal{F}=\sigma\left(\bigcup_{n\ge0}\mathcal{B}_n\right)$. It is clear that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_n}(f)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left(A_j^n\right)}\int_{A_j^n}f(x)d\mathbb{P}\right)\chi_{A_j^n}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega(Mf)^{p(x)}d\mathbb{P} &\le \int_\Omega\sup_n\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\Big(\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\Big(A_j^n\Big)}\int_{A_j^n}|f(x)|d\mathbb{P}\Big)\chi_{A_j^n}\right\}^{p(x)}d\mathbb{P}\\ &= \int_\Omega\left\{\sup_n\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\Big(\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\Big(A_j^n\Big)}\int_{A_j^n}|f(x)|d\mathbb{P}\Big)^{\frac{p(x)}{p_-}}\chi_{A_j^n}\right\}^{p_-}d\mathbb{P}.\quad(3.2)\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let $p(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P}$, $1<p_-\le p_+<\infty$ and satisfy (1.5). Suppose that $f\in L^{p(\cdot)}$ and $\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}\le1/2$. Then for all measurable sets $B$,*

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(B)}\int_B|f(x)|d\mathbb{P}\right)^{\frac{p(x)}{p_-}}\le K\left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(B)}\int_B|f(x)|^{\frac{p(x)}{p_-}}d\mathbb{P}+1\right).$$

*Proof.* Let $q(x)=p(x)/p_-$, then for any $x\in B$,

$$q(x)\le p(x),\quad\text{and}\quad1\le q_-(B)\le p(x).$$

Let $f|_B(x)=f(x)$, $x\in B$. Then $\|f|_B\|_{p(\cdot)}\le1/2$. Let $g=f/\|f|_B\|_{p(\cdot)}$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_B\left|\frac{f(x)}{\|f|_B\|_{p(\cdot)}}\right|^{q_-(B)}d\mathbb{P} &= \int_{B\cap\{|g|\ge1\}}|g(x)|^{q_-(B)}d\mathbb{P}+\int_{B\cap\{|g|<1\}}|g(x)|^{q_-(B)}d\mathbb{P}\\ &\le 1+\mathbb{P}(\Omega).\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|f|_B\|_{q_-(B)} \leq (1+\mathbb{P}(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{q_-(B)}}\|f|_B\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq (1+\mathbb{P}(\Omega))\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 1.$$

Using Hölder's inequality and (1.5), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(B)}\int_B |f(y)|d\mathbb{P}\right)^{q(x)} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(B)}\int_B |f(y)|^{q_-(B)}d\mathbb{P}\right)^{\frac{q(x)}{q_-(B)}} \\
&= \mathbb{P}(B)^{-\frac{q(x)}{q_-(B)}}\|f|_B\|_{q_-(B)}^{q(x)} \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}(B)^{-\frac{q(x)}{q_-(B)}}\|f|_B\|_{q_-(B)}^{q_-(B)} \\
&= \mathbb{P}(B)^{-\frac{q(x)-q_-(B)}{q_-(B)}}\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(B)}\int_B |f(x)|^{q_-(B)}d\mathbb{P} \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}(B)^{\frac{q_-(B)-q_+(B)}{q_-(B)}}\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(B)}\int_B |f(y)|^{q_-(B)}d\mathbb{P} \\
&= \mathbb{P}(B)^{\frac{p_-(B)-p_+(B)}{p_-(B)}}\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(B)}\int_B |f(y)|^{q_-(B)}d\mathbb{P} \\
&\leq K^{\frac{1}{p_-(B)}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(B)}\int_B \left(|f(y)|^{q(y)}+1\right)d\mathbb{P}\right) \\
&\leq K\left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(B)}\int_B \left(|f(y)|^{q(y)}+1\right)d\mathbb{P}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let $\mathcal{D}_n = \{A_j^n\}_{j\geq 1}$, for each $n \geq 0$, be decompositions of $\Omega$ such that $(\mathcal{B}_n)_{n\geq 0} = (\sigma(\mathcal{D}_n))_{n\geq 0}$ is increasing and $\mathcal{F} = \sigma\left(\bigcup_{n\geq 0}\mathcal{B}_n\right)$. Let $p(\cdot)$ satisfy (1.5) and $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$. Then for any martingale $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}$ with respect to $(\mathcal{B}_n)_{n\geq 0}$,*

$$\|\sup_n |f_n|\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq C_{p(\cdot)}\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

*Proof.* We assume that $\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 1/2$ by homogeneity and let $q(x) = p(x)/p_-$. Then by Lemma 3.4 and the classical Doob maximal inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \left\{\sup_n \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left(A_j^n\right)}\int_{A_j^n}|f(x)|d\mathbb{P}\right)^{\frac{p(x)}{p_-}}\chi_{A_j^n}\right\}^{p_-} d\mathbb{P} \\
&\leq \int_\Omega \left\{\sup_n \sum_{j=1}^\infty K\left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left(A_j^n\right)}\int_{A_j^n}\left(|f(x)|^{\frac{p(x)}{p_-}}+1\right)d\mathbb{P}\right)\chi_{A_j^n}\right\}^{p_-} d\mathbb{P} \\
&= K^{p_-}\left\|\sup_n \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_n}\left(|f|^{q(\cdot)}+1\right)\right\|_{p_-}^{p_-} \\
&\leq C_{p_-}K^{p_-}\left\||f|^{q(\cdot)}+1\right\|_{p_-}^{p_-} \leq C
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.2), we have $\int_\Omega (Mf)^{p(x)}d\mathbb{P} \leq C$. Now the proof is complete. □

*Remark* 3.6. (1) We point out that there is a non-log-Hölder continuous function $p(\cdot)$ for which the maximal operator is bounded on the corresponding Lebesgue spaces $L_{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$; see [23].

(2) Note that condition (1.5) could not cover the example given by Nakai and Sadasue (p.2169, [21]). Indeed, we can verify a special case of their example. Let $((0,1],\Sigma,\mu)$ be a probability space such that $\mu$ is the Lebesgue measure and subalgebras $\{\Sigma_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ generated as follows

$$\Sigma_n = \sigma\text{-algebra generated by atoms} \quad \big(\frac{j}{2^n},\frac{j+1}{2^n}\big], j=0,\cdots,2^n-1.$$

For $n\geq 0$ we set $B_n=\big(0,\frac{1}{2^n}\big]$, then

$$(0,1]=B_0\supset B_1\supset\cdots\supset B_n\cdots,$$

and let

$$g(x)=\sin(h(x)),\quad h(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\ln(2^n e)}(2\chi_{B_n}-\chi_{B_{n-1}}).$$

Denote $h_m:=\sum_{n=1}^{m}\frac{1}{\ln(2^n e)}-\frac{1}{\ln(2^{m+1}e)}$, $m\geq 1$. It is easy to check that

$$h_m\to\infty \quad \text{as} \quad m\to\infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Also, we have

$$0<h_{m+1}-h_m\leq\frac{2}{(m+1)\ln 2}<\frac{2\pi}{3},\quad m\geq 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Given $N$, we shall show that there exists $y\in B_N$ such that $1\geq g(y)\geq 1/2$. Choose the smallest integer $k$ so that $h_N<2k\pi+\frac{\pi}{6}$. Then from (3.3) and (3.4), it follows that there exists $j>N$ satisfying $h_j\in(2k\pi+\frac{\pi}{6},2k\pi+\frac{5\pi}{6})$. This means for any $y\in B_j\setminus B_{j+1}\subset B_N$, we have $1\geq g(y)\geq 1/2$. Similarly, there exists $z\in B_N$ such that $-1\leq g(z)\leq 0$. Now we obtain

$$\mu(B_N)^{g_-(B_N)-g_+(B_N)}=(2^N)^{g_+(B_N)-g_-(B_N)}\geq(2^N)^{g(y)-g(z)}\geq(2^N)^{1/2},$$

which implies that $g(\cdot)$ does not satisfy condition (1.5).

In the time of this writing, we do not know if the condition (1.5) is sufficient for the Doob maximal inequality in general probability spaces.

**Problem 3.7.** Let $p(\cdot)$ satisfy (1.5) with $1<p_-\leq p_+<\infty$. Then for any martingale $f\in L^{p(\cdot)}$ with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n\geq 0}$,

$$\|\sup_n|f_n|\|_{p(\cdot)}\leq C_{p(\cdot)}\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}\ ?$$

*Remark* 3.8. It is well known that $\big|\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}_n}(f)\big|^p\leq\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}_n}(|f|^p)$ for $1\leq p<\infty$. However, it is easy to give inverse examples to show that one can never expect a variable exponent version, namely,

$$\big|\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}_n}(f)\big|^{p(\cdot)}\leq C_{p(\cdot)}\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}_n}(|f|^{p(\cdot)}),\quad 1\leq p(\cdot)<\infty. \tag{3.5}$$

Hence the main difficulty to deal with Problem 3.7 is how to overcome or avoid the use of the inequality (3.5).

## 4. Atomic characterization of variable Hardy martingale space

In this section we construct the atomic decoposition of martingale Hardy space with variable exponents. Here we use Definitions 1.1 and 1.2.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Given $p(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P}$. Let $f\in H^{s,at}_{p(\cdot)}$, i.e., $f=\sum\mu_k a^k$.*

(1) *We have*

$$\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\mu_k^{p_+}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_+}}\leq\mathcal{A}(\{\mu_k\},\{a^k\},\{\tau_k\}).$$

(2) *If $p_+\leq 1$, then*

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\mu_k\leq\mathcal{A}(\{\mu_k\},\{a^k\},\{\tau_k\}).$$

(3) *For any $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$\|a^k\|_{H^{s,at}_{p(\cdot)}}\leq 1.$$

*Proof.* (1) The convexity implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{\mu_k\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}}{\lambda\|\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}\|_{p(\cdot)}}\right)^{\underline{p}}\right)^{\frac{p(x)}{\underline{p}}}d\mathbb{P}&\geq\int_\Omega\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{\mu_k\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}}{\lambda\|\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}\|_{p(\cdot)}}\right)^{p(x)}d\mathbb{P}\\&=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\int_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}\left(\frac{\mu_k}{\lambda\|\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}\|_{p(\cdot)}}\right)^{p(x)}d\mathbb{P}\end{aligned}$$

Now if we set $\lambda=\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\mu_k^{p_+}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_+}}$, and then we obtain

$$\int_\Omega\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{\mu_k\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}}{\lambda\|\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}\|_{p(\cdot)}}\right)^{\underline{p}}\right)^{\frac{p(x)}{\underline{p}}}d\mathbb{P}\geq\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{\mu_k}{\lambda}\right)^{p_+}\int_\Omega\left(\frac{\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}}{\|\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}\|_{p(\cdot)}}\right)^{p(x)}d\mathbb{P}=1.$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{A}(\{\mu_k\},\{a^k\},\{\tau_k\})$, we get the desired result.

(2) and (3) are obvious. □

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let $p(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P}$. If the martingale $f\in H^s_{p(\cdot)}$, then there exist a sequence $(a^k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of $(1,p(\cdot),\infty)$-atoms and a sequence $(\mu_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of nonnegative real numbers such that for all $n\geq 0$,*

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\mu_k\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}_n}a^k=f_n,\quad a.e\tag{4.1}$$

*and*

$$\mathcal{A}(\{\mu_k\},\{a^k\},\{\tau_k\})\lesssim H^s_{p(\cdot)}.$$

*Moreover the sum $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\mu_k a^k$ converges to $f$ in $H^s_{p(\cdot)}$. Conversely, if the martingale $f$ has a decomposition of (4.1), then*

$$\|f\|_{H^s_{p(\cdot)}}\lesssim\inf\mathcal{A}(\{\mu_k\},\{a^k\},\{\tau_k\}),$$

*where the infimum is taken over all the decompositions of the form (4.1).*

*Proof.* Assume that $f \in H^s_{p(\cdot)}$. Let us consider the following stopping times for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\tau_k = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} : s_{n+1}(f) > 2^k\}.$$

The sequence of these stopping times is obviously non-decreasing. For each stopping time $\tau$, denote $f_n^\tau = f_{n\wedge\tau}$. It is easy to see that

$$f_n = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} (f_n^{\tau_{k+1}} - f_n^{\tau_k}).$$

Let

$$\mu_k = 3\cdot 2^k \left\|\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}, \quad \text{and} \quad a_n^k = \frac{f_n^{\tau_{k+1}} - f_n^{\tau_k}}{\mu_k}.$$

If $\mu_k = 0$ then let $a_n^k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(a_n^k)_{n\geq 0}$ is a martingale for each fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $s(f^{\tau_k}) = s_{\tau_k}(f) \leq 2^k$, we get

$$s\left((a_n^k)_{n\geq 0}\right) \leq \frac{s(f^{\tau_{k+1}}) + s(f^{\tau_k})}{\mu_k} \leq \left\|\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}\right\|^{-1}_{p(\cdot)}.$$

Hence it is easy to check that $(a_n^k)_{n\geq 0}$ is a bounded $L_2$-martingale. Consequently, there exists an element $a^k \in L_2$ such that $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{F}_n} a^k = a_n^k$. If $n \leq \tau_k$, then $a_n^k = 0$, and $s(a^k) \leq \left\|\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}\right\|^{-1}_{p(\cdot)}$. Thus we conclude that $a^k$ is really a $(1, p(\cdot), \infty)$-atom.

Denote $\mathcal{O}_k = \{\tau_k < \infty\} = \{s(f) > 2^k\}$. Recalling that $\tau_k$ is non-decreasing for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\mathcal{O}_k \supset \mathcal{O}_{k+1}$. Then

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(3\cdot 2^k \chi_{\mathcal{O}_k}(x)\right)^{\underline{p}}$$

is the sum of the geometric sequence $\left\{\left(3\cdot 2^k\chi_{\mathcal{O}_k}(x)\right)^{\underline{p}}\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Thus, we can claim that

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(3\cdot 2^k \chi_{\mathcal{O}_k}(x)\right)^{\underline{p}} \approx \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} 3\cdot 2^k \chi_{\mathcal{O}_k}(x)\right)^{\underline{p}} \approx \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} 3\cdot 2^k \chi_{\mathcal{O}_k\setminus\mathcal{O}_{k+1}}(x)\right)^{\underline{p}}.$$

Indeed, for each fixed $x_0 \in \Omega$, there is $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x_0 \in \mathcal{O}_{k_0}$ but $\notin \mathcal{O}_{k_0+1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(3\cdot 2^k \chi_{\mathcal{O}_k}(x_0)\right)^{\underline{p}} &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} \left(3\cdot 2^k\right)^{\underline{p}} = \left(3\cdot 2^{k_0}\right)^{\underline{p}} \frac{1}{1-2^{-\underline{p}}} \\
&\lesssim \left(3\cdot 2^{k_0}\right)^{\underline{p}} \left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\underline{p}} \\
&= \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 3\cdot 2^k \chi_{\mathcal{O}_k}(x_0)\right)^{\underline{p}} \\
&\lesssim \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 3\cdot 2^k \chi_{\mathcal{O}_k\setminus\mathcal{O}_{k+1}}(x_0)\right)^{\underline{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(\{\mu_k\},\{a^k\},\{\tau_k\}) &= \left\| \left\{ \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{\mu_k \chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}}{\|\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}\|_{p(\cdot)}} \right)^{\underline{p}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\underline{p}}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)} \\
&= \left\| \left\{ \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left( 3\cdot 2^k \chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}} \right)^{\underline{p}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\underline{p}}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)} \\
&\lesssim \left\| \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} 3\cdot 2^k \chi_{\mathcal{O}_k\setminus\mathcal{O}_{k+1}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)} \\
&= \inf\left\{ \lambda>0 : \int_\Omega \Big( \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{3\cdot 2^k \chi_{\mathcal{O}_k\setminus\mathcal{O}_{k+1}}(x)}{\lambda} \Big)^{p(x)} d\mathbb{P} \le 1 \right\} \\
&= \inf\left\{ \lambda>0 : \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathcal{O}_k\setminus\mathcal{O}_{k+1}} \left( \frac{3\cdot 2^k}{\lambda} \right)^{p(x)} d\mathbb{P} \le 1 \right\} \\
&\approx \inf\left\{ \lambda>0 : \int_\Omega \left( \frac{s(f)}{\lambda} \right)^{p(x)} d\mathbb{P} \le 1 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}(\{\mu_k\},\{a^k\},\{\tau_k\}) \lesssim \|s(f)\|_{p(\cdot)} = \|f\|_{H^s_{p(\cdot)}}.$$

We now verify the sum $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mu_k a^k$ converges in $H^s_{p(\cdot)}$. By the equality $s(f-f^{\tau_k})^2 = s(f)^2 - s(f^{\tau_k})^2$ we have

$$s(f-f^{\tau_k}),\ s(f^{\tau_k}) \le s(f) \quad \text{and} \quad s(f-f^{\tau_k}),\ s(f^{\tau_{-k}}) \to 0 \quad \text{a.e., as } k\to\infty.$$

Consequently, by the dominated convergence theorem in variable $L^{p(\cdot)}$ (Theorem 2.62 in [3])

$$\left\| f - \sum_{k=-M}^{N} \mu_k a^k \right\|_{H^s_{p(\cdot)}}^{\underline{p}} \le \|f - f^{\tau_{N+1}}\|_{H^s_{p(\cdot)}}^{\underline{p}} + \|f^{\tau_{-M}}\|_{H^s_{p(\cdot)}}^{\underline{p}}$$

converges to 0 a.e. as $M,N\to\infty$.

Conversely, by the definition of $(1,p(\cdot),\infty)$-atom, we have almost everywhere

$$s(a) = s(a)\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}} \le \|s(a)\|_\infty \chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}} \le \left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{-1} \chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}},$$

where $a$ is a $(1,p(\cdot),\infty)$-atom. By the subadditivity of the conditional quadratic variation operator, we obtain that

$$s(f) \le \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mu_k s(a^k) \le \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mu_k \frac{\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}}{\left\|\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{H^s_{p(\cdot)}} = \|s(f)\|_{p(\cdot)} &\le \left\|\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mu_k \frac{\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}}{\|\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}\|_{p(\cdot)}}\right\|_{p(\cdot)} \\ &\le \left\|\left\{\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mu_k \frac{\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}}{\|\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}\|_{p(\cdot)}}\right)^{\underline{p}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\underline{p}}}\right\|_{p(\cdot)} \\ &= \mathcal{A}(\{\mu_k\},\{a^k\},\{\tau_k\}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence we can conclude that $\|f\|_{H^s_{p(\cdot)}} \approx \|f\|_{H^{s,at}_{p(\cdot)}}$ and the proof is complete now. □

*Remark* 4.3. It is showed in Theorem 5.1 in [14] that, for the atomic decomposition of Hardy-Morrey spaces with variable exponents $p(\cdot)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, the exponent function $p(\cdot)$ is not necessary to be log-Hölder continuous.

## 5. The duality and John-Nirenberg theorem

In this section we establish the dual space of $H^s_{p(\cdot)}$ by the atomic decomposition established in Section 4 and prove the John-Nirenberg inequalities in the setting of variable exponents.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let $p(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P}$ satisfy (1.5) with $0<p_-\le p_+<\infty$.*

(1) *If $q(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P}$ satisfies (1.5), then $p(\cdot)+q(\cdot)$ also satisfies (1.5);*

(2) *$\frac{1}{p(\cdot)}$ satisfy (1.5);*

(3) *If $\frac{1}{p(x)}+\frac{1}{q(x)}=1$, then $q(\cdot)$ satisfies (1.5);*

(4) *If $q(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P}$ satisfies (1.5) and $\frac{1}{p(x)}+\frac{1}{q(x)}=\frac{1}{r(x)}$, then $r(\cdot)$ satisfies (1.5).*

*Proof.* (1) Set $h(\cdot)=p(\cdot)+q(\cdot)$, then

$$h_-(A)-h_+(A)\ge p_-(A)+q_-(A)-p_+(A)-q_+(A).$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{P}(A)^{h_-(A)-h_+(A)}\le \mathbb{P}(A)^{p_-(A)-p_+(A)+q_-(A)-q_+(A)}\le K_{p(\cdot)}K_{q(\cdot)}\triangleq K.$$

(2) We have

$$\mathbb{P}(A)^{1/p_+(A)-1/p_-(A)}=\mathbb{P}(A)^{\frac{p_-(A)-p_+(A)}{p_+(A)p_-(A)}}\le K_{p(\cdot)}^{\frac{1}{p_+(A)p_-(A)}}.$$

If $p_-(\Omega)\ge 1$, then $K_{p(\cdot)}^{\frac{1}{p_+(A)p_-(A)}}\le K_{p(\cdot)}$. If $0<p_-(\Omega)<1$, then

$$K_{p(\cdot)}^{\frac{1}{p_+(A)p_-(A)}}\le K_{p(\cdot)}^{1/p_-^2(\Omega)}\triangleq K.$$

(3) Set $h(\cdot)=1-\frac{1}{p(\cdot)}$. We get

$$\mathbb{P}(A)^{h_-(A)-h_+(A)}=\mathbb{P}(A)^{1-1/p_-(A)-1+1/p_+(A)}\le K_{p(\cdot)}^{\frac{1}{p_+(A)p_-(A)}}\le K_{p(\cdot)}^{1/p_-^2(\Omega)}\triangleq K.$$

Hence we have $1-\frac{1}{p(\cdot)}$ satisfies (1.5). Using (2), we get desired result.

(4) It follows from (1) and (2). The proof is complete. □

It is easy to prove that for all $B\in\mathcal{F}$

$$\mathbb{P}(B)^{p_-(B)-p(x)} \quad \left(\text{and } \mathbb{P}(B)^{p(x)-p_+(B)}\right)\le K \quad \forall x\in B,$$

if $p(\cdot)$ satisfies (1.5). Using this result, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let $p(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P}$ and satisfy (1.5) and $0<p_-\le p_+<\infty$. Then for all set $B\in\mathcal{F}$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(B)^{1/p_-(B)}\approx\mathbb{P}(B)^{1/p(x)}\approx\mathbb{P}(B)^{1/p_+(B)}\approx\|\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot)} \quad \forall x\in B.$$

*Proof.* Obviously, we have $\mathbb{P}(B)^{1/p_-(B)}\le\mathbb{P}(B)^{1/p(x)}\le\mathbb{P}(B)^{1/p_+(B)}$, for all $x\in B$. Since (1.5), we have

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(B)^{1/p(x)}}{\mathbb{P}(B)^{1/p_-(B)}}\le\mathbb{P}(B)^{\frac{p_-(B)-p(x)}{p_-(B)p(x)}}\le K_{p(\cdot)}^{\frac{1}{p_-^2(\Omega)}}\triangleq K.$$

This implies $\mathbb{P}(B)^{1/p(x)}\le K\mathbb{P}(B)^{1/p_-(B)}$.

Then it is easy to check that $\mathbb{P}(B)^{1/p_-(B)}\approx\mathbb{P}(B)^{1/p(x)}\approx\mathbb{P}(B)^{1/p_+(B)}$. And we have

$$\frac{\chi_B(x)}{\mathbb{P}(B)^{1/p_-(B)}}\approx\frac{\chi_B(x)}{\mathbb{P}(B)^{1/p(x)}},$$

that is

$$\left(\frac{\chi_B(x)}{\mathbb{P}(B)^{1/p_-(B)}}\right)^{p(x)}\ge\frac{\chi_B(x)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}\ge\left(\frac{\chi_B(x)}{K\mathbb{P}(B)^{1/p_-(B)}}\right)^{p(x)}.$$

So

$$\int_\Omega\left(\frac{\chi_B(x)}{\mathbb{P}(B)^{1/p_-(B)}}\right)^{p(x)}d\mathbb{P}\approx\int_\Omega\frac{\chi_B(x)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}d\mathbb{P}=1.$$

Consequently, $\|\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot)}\approx\mathbb{P}(B)^{1/p_-(B)}$ and we get the desired result. □

*Remark* 5.3. Lemma 5.2 is also true for $p_+=\infty$. In this case, we need to employ a slightly different definition of $\|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)}$; see Definition 2.16 in [3].

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let $p(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P}$ satisfy (1.5) with $0<p_-\le p_+<\infty$.*

(1) *Then for all set $B\in\mathcal{F}$, we have*

$$\|\chi_B\|_1\approx\|\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot)}\|\chi_B\|_{q(\cdot)},$$

*where*

$$1=\frac{1}{p(x)}+\frac{1}{q(x)}.$$

(2) *Let $q(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P}$ and satisfies (1.5). Then for all set $B\in\mathcal{F}$, we have*

$$\|\chi_B\|_{r(\cdot)}\approx\|\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot)}\|\chi_B\|_{q(\cdot)},$$

*where*

$$\frac{1}{r(x)}=\frac{1}{p(x)}+\frac{1}{q(x)}.$$

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 that

$$\|\chi_B\|_{r(\cdot)} \approx \mathbb{P}(B)^{\frac{1}{r(x)}} = \mathbb{P}(B)^{\frac{1}{p(x)}+\frac{1}{q(x)}} \approx \|\chi_B\|_{p(\cdot)}\|\chi_B\|_{q(\cdot)}, \quad \forall x \in B.$$

□

As application of atomic decomposition, we now prove a duality theorem. First let us introduce the new Lipschitz spaces with variable exponents.

**Definition 5.5.** Given $1/\alpha(\cdot)$ is a variable exponent ($1/\alpha(\cdot) = \infty$ is allowed) and a constant $1 \le q < \infty$. Define $\Lambda_q(\alpha(\cdot))$ as the space of functions $f \in L^q$ for which

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_q(\alpha(\cdot))} = \sup_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}} \left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_{\frac{1}{\alpha(\cdot)}}^{-1} \left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_q^{-1} \|f - f^\tau\|_q$$

is finite.

**Theorem 5.6.** *Given $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}$, $0 < p_- \le p_+ \le 1$ and $p(\cdot)$ satisfies (1.5). Then*

$$\left(H^s_{p(\cdot)}\right)^* = \Lambda_2(\alpha(\cdot)), \quad \alpha(x) = 1/p\,(x) - 1.$$

*Proof.* We first claim that $\alpha(\cdot)$ satisfies (1.5) by Proposition 5.1(1). Let $\varphi \in \Lambda_2(\alpha(\cdot)) \subset L^2$ and for all $f \in L^2$, define

$$l_\varphi(f) = \mathbb{E}(f\varphi).$$

We shall show that $l_\varphi$ is a bounded linear functional on $H^s_{p(\cdot)}$. By Theorem 4.2, we know that $L^2$ is dense in $H^s_{p(\cdot)}$. Take the same stopping times $\tau_k$, atoms $a^k$ and nonnegative numbers $\mu_k$ as we do in Theorem 4.2. It follows from Theorem 4.2 that $f = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mu_k a^k$ ($\forall f \in L_2$). Hence

$$l_\varphi(f) = \mathbb{E}(f\varphi) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mu_k \mathbb{E}(a^k\varphi).$$

By the definition of the atom $a^k$, $\mathbb{E}(a^k\varphi) = \mathbb{E}(a^k(\varphi - \varphi^{\tau_k}))$ always holds. It follows from Corollary 5.4 that

$$\left\|\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}\right\|_{p(\cdot)} \approx \left\|\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}\right\|_{\frac{1}{\alpha(\cdot)}} \left\|\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}\right\|_2 \left\|\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}\right\|_2.$$

Thus, using Hölder's inequality we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
|l_\varphi(f)| &\le \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mu_k \int_\Omega |a^k||\varphi - \varphi^{\tau_k}| d\mathbb{P} \\
&\le \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mu_k \|a^k\|_2 \|\varphi - \varphi^{\tau_k}\|_2 \\
&\le \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mu_k \frac{|\{\tau_k < \infty\}|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left\|\chi_{\{\tau_k<\infty\}}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}} \|\varphi - \varphi^{\tau_k}\|_2 \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mu_k \|\varphi\|_{\Lambda_2(\alpha(\cdot))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain from Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 that

$$|l_\varphi(f)| \lesssim \|f\|_{H^s_{p(\cdot)}} \|\varphi\|_{\Lambda_2(\alpha(\cdot))}.$$

Consequently, $l_\varphi$ can be extended to $H^s_{p(\cdot)}$ uniquely.

On the other hand, let $l$ be an arbitrary bounded linear functional on $H^s_{p(\cdot)}$. We shall show that there exists $\varphi \in \Lambda_2(\alpha(\cdot))$ such that $l = l_\varphi$ and

$$\|\varphi\|_{\Lambda_2(\alpha(\cdot))} \lesssim \|l\|.$$

Since $0 < p_- \le p_+ \le 1$, thus it follows from Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.8 in [17] that

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{H^s_{p(\cdot)}} &= \|s(f)\|_{p(\cdot)} = \|s(f)^{p_-}\|^{\frac{1}{p_-}}_{\frac{p(\cdot)}{p_-}} \\ &\le \left(2\|s(f)^{p_-}\|_{\frac{2}{p_-}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_-}} = 2^{\frac{1}{p_-}}\|s(f)\|_2 = 2^{\frac{1}{p_-}}\|f\|_2, \quad \forall f \in L^2.\end{aligned}$$

Then the space $L^2$ can be embedded continuously in $H^s_{p(\cdot)}$. Consequently, there exists $\varphi \in L^2$ such that

$$l(f) = \mathbb{E}(f\varphi),\ \forall f \in L^2.$$

Let $\tau$ be an arbitrary stopping time and

$$g = \frac{\varphi - \varphi^\tau}{\|\varphi - \varphi^\tau\|_2 \left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_{\frac{1}{\alpha(\cdot)}} \left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_2}.$$

Then $g$ is not necessarily a $(1, p(\cdot), \infty)$-atom but it satisfies (1) in Definition 1.1, thus we have

$$s(g) = s(g)\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}.$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{p(x)} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{1/\alpha(x)} + \frac{1}{2},$$

then by Hölder's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|g\|_{H^s_{p(\cdot)}} &= \frac{\|s(\varphi - \varphi^\tau)\|_{p(\cdot)}}{\|\varphi - \varphi^\tau\|_2 \left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_{\frac{1}{\alpha(\cdot)}} \left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\|s(\varphi - \varphi^\tau)\|_2 \left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_{\frac{1}{\alpha(\cdot)}} \left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_2}{\|\varphi - \varphi^\tau\|_2 \left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_{\frac{1}{\alpha(\cdot)}} \left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_2} \\ &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\|l\| \gtrsim l(g) &= \mathbb{E}\left(g(\varphi - \varphi^\tau)\right) \\ &= \left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|^{-1}_{\frac{1}{\alpha(\cdot)}} \left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_2^{-1} \|\varphi - \varphi^\tau\|_2\end{aligned}$$

and we get that $\|\varphi\|_{\Lambda_2(\alpha(\cdot))} \lesssim \|l\|$ and the proof is complete. □

We now turn to the John-Nirenberg theorem with variable exponents. Recall that $BMO_p (1 \le p < \infty)$ is the space of those functions $f$ for which

$$\|f\|_{BMO_p} = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\|_p^{-1} \|f - f^{\tau-1}\|_p < \infty.$$

**Definition 5.7.** Given $p(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ be the sets of all stopping times relative to $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n\geq 0}$. Define

$$BMO_{p(\cdot)}=\left\{f=(f_n)_{n\geq 0}:\|f\|_{BMO_{p(\cdot)}}<\infty\right\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{BMO_{p(\cdot)}}=\sup_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}}\left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{-1}\|f-f^{\tau-1}\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

**Lemma 5.8.** *(see [27]) If $1\leq p<\infty$, then*

$$\|f\|_{BMO_1}\approx\|f\|_{BMO_p}.$$

**Proposition 5.9.** *If $p(\cdot)\in\mathcal{P}$ satisfies (1.5) and $1\leq p_-\leq p_+<\infty$, then we have that for all $f\in BMO_1$*

$$\|f\|_{BMO_1}\lesssim\|f\|_{BMO_{p(\cdot)}}\lesssim\|f\|_{BMO_1}.$$

*Proof.* By Hölder's inequality and Corollary 5.4, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\|f-f^{\tau-1}\|_1}{\left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_1}&\lesssim\frac{\|f-f^{\tau-1}\|_{p(\cdot)}\left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_{p'(\cdot)}}{\left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_1}\\&=\frac{\|f-f^{\tau-1}\|_{p(\cdot)}}{\left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}}\cdot\frac{\left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}\left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_{p'(\cdot)}}{\left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_1}\\&\leq C_{p(\cdot)}\|f\|_{BMO_{p(\cdot)}},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p(x)}+\frac{1}{p'(x)}=1.$$

Hence $\|f\|_{BMO_1}\lesssim\|f\|_{BMO_{p(\cdot)}}$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\|f-f^{\tau-1}\|_{p(\cdot)}&\lesssim\|f-f^{\tau-1}\|_{p_+}\left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_{\frac{p_+p(\cdot)}{p_+-p(\cdot)}}\\&=\frac{\|f-f^{\tau-1}\|_{p_+}}{\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\|_{p_+}}\left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_{\frac{p_+p(\cdot)}{p_+-p(\cdot)}}\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\|_{p_+},\end{aligned}$$

then by Lemma 5.8, we get

$$\|f-f^{\tau-1}\|_{p(\cdot)}\lesssim\|f\|_{BMO_1}\left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_{\frac{p_+p(\cdot)}{p_+-p(\cdot)}}\left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_{p_+}.$$

Thus by Corollary 5.4

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\|f-f^{\tau-1}\|_{p(\cdot)}}{\|\chi_{\tau<\infty}\|_{p(\cdot)}}&\lesssim\|f\|_{BMO_1}\left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_{\frac{p_+p(\cdot)}{p_+-p(\cdot)}}\left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_{p_+}\left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{-1}\\&\lesssim\|f\|_{BMO_1}.\end{aligned}$$

This means

$$\|f\|_{BMO_{p(\cdot)}}\lesssim\|f\|_{BMO_1}.$$

□

By applying Proposition 5.9, we prove the following exponential integrability form of the John-Nirenberg theorem, which should be compared with the very recent result, Theorem 3.2 in [13].

**Theorem 5.10.** *Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy (1.5) and $1 \le p_- \le p_+ < \infty$, then there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for every $f \in BMO_1$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$,*

$$\left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}\cap\{f-f_{\tau-1}\ge t\}}\right\|_{p(\cdot)} \le C_1 e^{-\frac{C_2 t}{\|f\|_{BMO_1}}} \|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\|_{p(\cdot)} \quad t>0.$$

*Proof.* Using Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 5.9, we point out that for $r \ge 1$,

$$\sup_{\tau} \frac{\||f-f^{\tau-1}|^r\|_{p(\cdot)}^{1/r}}{\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\|_{p(\cdot)}^{1/r}} = \|f\|_{BMO_{rp(\cdot)}} \le C\|f\|_{BMO_1} \triangleq C_0.$$

This implies that

$$\||f-f^{\tau-1}|^r\|_{p(\cdot)} \le C_0^r \|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

Then we get that

$$\left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}\cap\{f-f_{\tau-1}\ge t\}}\right\|_{p(\cdot)} \le \frac{1}{t^r}\||f-f^{\tau-1}|^r\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\|_{p(\cdot)} \le \frac{C_0^r}{t^r}\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

If $t \ge 2C_0$, we take $r = \frac{t}{2C_0} \ge 1$, then

$$\big(\frac{C_0}{t}\big)^r \le \frac{1}{2^r} = e^{-r\ln 2} = e^{-\frac{t}{2C_0}\ln 2} = e^{-\frac{t}{2C\|f\|_{BMO_1}}\ln 2} = e^{-\frac{C_2 t}{\|f\|_{BMO_1}}},$$

where $C_2 = \frac{1}{2C}\ln 2$.

If $t < 2C_0$, take $C_2 = \frac{1}{2C}\ln 2$. Then $e^{-\frac{C_2 t}{\|f\|_{BMO_1}}} = \big(\frac{1}{2}\big)^{\frac{t}{2C_0}} > 1/4$. Since

$$\{\tau<\infty\}\cap\{f-f_{\tau-1}\ge t\} \subset \{\tau<\infty\},$$

it follows that

$$\left\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}\cap\{f-f_{\tau-1}\ge t\}}\right\|_{p(\cdot)} \le \|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\|_{p(\cdot)} \le 4e^{-\frac{C_2 t}{\|f\|_{BMO_1}}}\|\chi_{\{\tau<\infty\}}\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

We conclude this proof. □

*Remark* 5.11. The result above depends on condition (1.5), and we refer to Corollary 3.5 in [15] for another John-Nirenberg theorem with a non-log-Hölder exponent function $p(\cdot)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

*Remark* 5.12. Recently, there are some new results concerning martingale Hardy spaces with variable exponents; see [11, 19, 29].

**Acknowledgements.** The authors are grateful to the referee for her/his carefully reading and useful comments and suggestions. Yong Jiao is supported by NSFC(11471337) and Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation(14JJ1004); Wei Chen is supported by NSFC(11101353).

## References


1. H. Aoyama, *Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent on a probability space*, Hiroshima Math. J. **39** (2009), no. 2, 207–216.
2. A. Almeida and P. Hästö, *Besov spaces with variable smoothnessand integrability*, J. Funct. Anal. **258** (2010), no. 5, 1628–1655.
3. D. Cruz-Uribe SFO and A. Fiorenza, *Variable Lebesgue Spaces. Foundations and Harmonic Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Heidelberg, 2013.
4. D. Cruz-Uribe SFO, A. Fiorenza, J.M. Martell and C. Pérez, *The boundedness of classical operators on variable $L^p$ spaces*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **31** (2006), no. 1, 239–264.
5. D. Cruz-Uribe SFO and L. Daniel Wang, *Variable Hardy spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **63** (2014), no. 2, 447–493.
6. L. Diening, *Maximal functions on generalized spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **7** (2004), no. 2, 245–253.
7. L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö and M. Ružička, *Lebesgue and Sololev spaces with variable exponent*, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
8. L. Diening, P. Hästö and S. Roudenko, *Function spaces of variable smoothness and integrability*, J. Funct. Anal. **256** (2009), no. 6, 1731–1768.
9. X. Fan and D. Zhao, *On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}$*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **263** (2001), no. 2, 424–446.
10. A.M. Garsia, *Martingale Inequalities: Seminar Notes on Recent Progress*, Benjamin, 1973.
11. Z. Hao and Y. Jiao, *Fractional integral on martingale Hardy spaces with variable exponents*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. **18** (2015), no. 5, 1128–1145.
12. P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö and M. Pere, *Variable exponent Lebesgue spaces on metric spaces:The Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator*, Real Anal. Exchange **30** (2004), no. 1, 87–103.
13. K. Ho, *John-Nirenberg inequalities on Lebesgue spaces with variable exponents*, Taiwanese. J. Math. **18** (2014), no. 4, 1107-1118.
14. K. Ho, *Atomic decomposition of Hardy-Morrey spaces with variable exponents*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **40** (2015), no. 1, 31–62.
15. K. Ho, *Vector-valued John-Nirenberg inequalities and vector-valued mean oscillations characterization of $BMO$*, Results. Math. DOI 10.1007/s00025-015-0483-x (Published online).
16. M. Izuki, Y. Sawan and Y. Tsutsui, *Variable Lebesgue norm estimates for BMO functions II*, Anal. Math. **40** (2014), no. 3, 215–230.
17. O. Kovàčik and J. Rákosník, *On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{1,p(x)}$*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **41** (1991), no. 4, 592–618.
18. A. Lerner, *On some questions related to the maximal operator on variable $L^p$ spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), no. 8, 4229–4242.
19. P. Liu and M. Wang, *Burkholder-Gundy-Davis inequality in martingale Hardy spaces with variable exponent*, arXiv:1412.8146v1 (to appear).
20. R. Long, *Martingale Spaces and Inequalities*, Peking University Press, Beijing, 1993.
21. E. Nakai and G. Sadasue, *Maximal function on generalized martingale Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent*, Statist. Probab. Lett. **83** (2013), no. 10, 2168–2171.
22. E. Nakai and Y. Sawano, *Hardy spaces with variable exponents and generalized Campanato spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), no. 9, 3665–3748.
23. A. Nekvinda, *Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on $L^{p(x)}(\mathbb{R})$*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **7** (2004), no. 2, 255–265.
24. W. Orlicz, *Über konjugierte Expoentenfolgen*, Studia Math. **3** (1931), 200–211.
25. L. Pick and M. Ruzicka, *An example of a space $L^{p(x)}$ on which the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is not bounded*, Expo. Math. **19** (2001), no. 4, 369–371.
26. Y. Sawano, *Atomic decomposition of Hardy spaces with variable exponents and its application to bounded linear operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **77** (2013), no. 1, 123–148.

27. F. Weisz, *Martingale Hardy spaces and their applications in Fourier analysis*, Springer, Berlin, 1994.
28. F. Weisz, *Martingale Hardy spaces for* $0 < p \leq 1$, Probab. Theory Related Fields **84** (1990), no. 3, 361–376.
29. L. Wu, Z. Hao and Y. Jiao, *John-Nirenberg inequalities with variable exponents on probability spaces*, Tokyo J. Math. **38** (2015), no. 2, 353–367.
30. D. Yang, C. Zhuo and W. Yuan, *Triebel-Lizorkin type spaces with variable exponents*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **9** (2015), no. 4, 146–202.
31. R. Yi, L. Wu and Y. Jiao, *New John-Nirenberg inequalities for martingales*, Statist. Probab. Lett. **86** (2014), 68–73.

[1] School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central South University, Changsha 410083, China.
*E-mail address*: jiaoyong@csu.edu.cn; zhoudejian@csu.edu.cn; zw_hao8@163.com

[2] School of Mathematical Sciences, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225002, China.
*E-mail address*: weichen@yzu.edu.cn